UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/611,790	06/30/2003	Gopala Krishna R. Kakivaya	3382-65593 1306			
26119 KLAROUIST	7590 09/12/2007 SPARKMAN LLP		EXAMINER			
121 S.W. SALMON STREET			LAZARO, DAVID R			
SUITE 1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		2155				
				-		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		•	09/12/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•				4			
		Application I	10.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/611,790		KAKIVAYA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		David Lazaro		2155				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. Or Reply	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	S			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILIN	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, h will apply and will ex e, cause the application	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>lune 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayl	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-55 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consid						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b)	eld in abeyance. See f the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been re ts have been re prity documents u (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applications have been receive 7.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stag	e			
2) Notice 3) Inform	tt(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date	4) 5) 6)	=	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/611,790

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-20, drawn to discovering devices and services with ad-hoc and server based operation through the use of server-based discovery mode and ad-hoc discovery mode, classified in class 709, subclass 227.
 - II. Claims 21-32, drawn to maintaining a list of processed messages using and message identifiers and particularly determining if a received messages is newly received or a duplicate message, classified in class 709, subclass 236.
 - III. Claims 33-40, drawn to the generation of a stateless boot time parameter at boot-time and announcing the parameter when joining a network, classified in class 709, subclass 222.
 - IV. Claims 41-47, drawn to the use of a configuration number parameter at the time of joining a network and upon a change in configuration, classified in class 709, subclass 221.
 - V. Claims 48-55, drawn to a query for devices services, the query including a size limit parameter and a passing page context parameter, classified in class 709, subclass 203.

Art Unit: 2155

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, III, IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as selecting a specific mode of operation in discovering device services. Subcombination II has separate utility such as managing messages related to the discovery of device services. Subcombination III has separate utility such as managing cache data through the use of a stateless boot time parameter. Subcombination IV has separate utility such as updating information based on a configuration number parameter. Subcombination V has separate utility such as searching for devices services according to certain criteria and returning results according to certain parameters. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,790 Page 4

Art Unit: 2155

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Steven Wight (37,759) on 09/05/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,790 Page 5

Art Unit: 2155

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 6. In accordance with 35 U.S.C. 133, a shortened statutory period of one month (not less than 30 days) is hereby set forth to reply to this Office Action. See also MPEP 710.02(b).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lazaro whose telephone number is 571-272-3986. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,790 Page 6

Art Unit: 2155

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David Lazaro

September 10, 2007